

## **Towards enhanced regionalization of Hydrologic-hydraulic river networks models with assimilation of multi-source data and SWOT hydraulic visibility**

Kevin Larnier<sup>1</sup>, Pierre-André Garambois<sup>2</sup>, Léo Pujol<sup>3</sup>, Jérôme Monnier<sup>4</sup>, Charlotte Emery<sup>1</sup>,  
Thomas Ledauphin<sup>5</sup>, Hervé Yesou<sup>5</sup>, Stéphane Calmant<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CS-GROUP FRANCE, Toulouse, France

<sup>2</sup>INRAE, Aix-Marseille Université, RECOVER, Aix-en-Provence, France

<sup>3</sup>Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse (IMFT), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France

<sup>4</sup>Hydrosiences Montpellier, France

<sup>5</sup>SERTIT - Icube, Strasbourg, France

<sup>6</sup>IRD, Cayenne, France

pierre-andre.Garambois@inrae.fr ; kevin.larnier@csgroup.eu (corresponding authors)

**Abstract:** In the context of increasing observation of the Earth by satellites and airborne sensors, this contribution investigates information extraction from those data combined with in situ data for hydrologic-hydraulic modeling of river networks. This work is based on recent multi-satellite datasets over two relatively large catchment river networks with contrasted and complex hydrological variabilities and flow features (anabranching reaches, confluences, tidal effects): the Maroni River basin in French Guyana (SWOT Cal/val site, Surface Water and Ocean TOPography satellite mission NASA/CNES) and the Adour River basin in continental France. The dataset contains Multi-mission altimetry, optical and radar image of rivers in addition to in situ data and recent SWOT data. The forward modeling approach consists in dynamic shallow water flow models of river networks, in 1D following or multi-D inflowed by catchment scale hydrologic models. The inverse modeling approach uses variational data assimilation and enables to optimize spatially distributed bathymetry-friction patterns to reduce the misfit to in-situ and satellite observables as well as to optimize simultaneously inflow hydrographs.

**Keywords:** River networks, hydrologic model, hydraulic model, coupling, data assimilation, multi-source observations

**Vers une meilleure régionalisation des modèles hydrologiques et hydrauliques des réseaux fluviaux grâce à l’assimilation de données multi-sources et à la visibilité hydraulique SWOT**

**Résumé :** Dans le contexte de l’observation croissante de la Terre par des satellites et des capteurs aéroportés, cette contribution étudie l’extraction d’informations à partir de ces données combinées avec des données in situ pour la modélisation hydrologique-hydraulique des réseaux fluviaux. Ce travail est basé sur des ensembles de données multi-satellites récentes sur deux réseaux fluviaux relativement importants avec des variabilités hydrologiques et des caractéristiques d’écoulement contrastées et complexes (tronçons anabranchés, confluences, effets de marée) : le bassin du fleuve Maroni en Guyane française (site SWOT Cal/val) et le bassin de l’Adour en France métropolitaine. Le jeu de données contient des images altimétriques, optiques et radar multi-missions des fleuves ainsi que des données

in situ et des données SWOT récentes. L’approche de modélisation consiste en des modèles hydraulique de réseaux fluviaux, en 1D ou multi-D, alimentés par des modèles hydrologiques à l’échelle du bassin versant. L’approche de modélisation inverse utilise l’assimilation variationnelle des données et permet d’optimiser les la bathymétrie-friction distribués dans l’espace afin de réduire l’inadéquation aux observables in-situ et satellitaires ainsi que d’optimiser simultanément les hydrogrammes amonts et latéraux.

**Mots-clefs :** réseaux hydrographiques, modélisation hydrologique-hydraulique, assimilation de données, observations multi-sources

## 1. Introduction

A variety of satellites and sensors allows to observe, with increasing spatio-temporal resolution, the variability of continental water surfaces and hydrological components over catchments. In particular, satellite altimetry and images bring interesting hydraulic visibility ([Garambois et al., 2017](#)), i.e. “the potential to depict a hydrological response and hydraulic variabilities within a river section or network via remote sensing”. Observations at an unprecedented spatial resolution with interesting temporal revisits of worldwide rivers surface properties started to be collected by the SWOT wide swath and nadir altimetry mission launched in December 2022 (cf. [Rodriguez \(2012\)](#); [Rodríguez et al. \(2020\)](#)).

Satellite observations, combined with in situ measurements, represent a very interesting source of information for hydrological and hydraulic modeling (e.g. [Schneider et al. \(2017\)](#); [Oliveira et al. \(2021\)](#); [Dembélé et al. \(2020\)](#); [Wongchuig-Correa et al. \(2020\)](#); [Coppo Frias et al. \(2023\)](#) and references therein). Nevertheless, the exploitation of such data requires integrated models of adapted complexity as well as adequate data assimilation methods. These forward-inverse approaches must be capable of ingesting multi-source heterogeneous data while solving high dimensional ill-posed inverse problems as encountered with non-linear and dynamic flow models involving spatio-temporal state-fluxes and parameters. We focus here on the use of flow observables over river networks and floodplains.

The estimation of uncertain or unknown spatialized bathymetry-friction and inflows from heterogeneous satellite observations of rivers surface deformations remains a difficult inverse problem. The main issues encountered in hydraulic inverse problems aiming to retrieve unknown or uncertain discharge-bathymetry-friction from WS (Water Surface) observables are:

- Despite WS observables are relatively direct observations of hydraulic states, they contain variable measurement errors and do not give acces to friction and river bottom bathymetry - except for penetrating Lidar over shallow and non turbid flows. WS variabilities are flow signatures produced by hydraulic controls variabilities and the flow that acts as a low pass filter on them in fluvial regime ([Montazem et al., 2019](#)).
- Local and spatial equifinality: different hydraulic models parameters combinations leading locally to equivalent WS properties ([Garambois & Monnier, 2015](#); [Larnier et al., 2021](#)) and different parameters patterns leading to equivalent flow lines ([Larnier et al., 2021](#); [Garambois et al., 2020](#)), also with the issue of spatialized lateral flow exchanges ([Pujol et al., 2020](#)); both local and spatial equifinality issues, in addition to larger modeling uncertainty, are also encountered in spatially distributed hydrological model optimization from downstream and integrative discharge ([Huynh et al., 2023](#)) or even from hydraulic observables within couplings ([Pujol et al., 2022](#)).
- The spatio-temporal sparsity of altimetric observations regarding real flow controls spatialization and dynamics. This is analyzed in [Brisset et al. \(2018\)](#) for inferable inflow hydrograph frequencies with the introduction of identifiability maps, also in [Pujol et al. \(2020\)](#)

with multiple inflows. Friction patterns scales, larger than the scale of bathymetric variability, are analyzed in [Garambois et al. \(2020\)](#) and adapted physically-derived covariance operators are proposed in [Malou & Monnier \(2022\)](#).

Local equifinality translates into sensitivity to prior even in local inversion of the Low Froude algebraic model from WS observations. This issue can be partially overcome if sufficient physical constraints are provided from ancillary database and system knowledge for instance (cf. [Garambois & Monnier \(2015\)](#); [Larnier et al. \(2021\)](#); [Larnier & Monnier \(2020\)](#) and references therein). Note that Variational Data Assimilation (VDA) has not been applied yet at the network scale for coupled hydrologic-hydraulic models

Putting in coherence catchment river-network models and flow observables, somehow pertains to a double regionalization problem regarding the estimation of (1) hydraulic channels parameters influencing flow dynamics and of (2) the hydrological parameters influencing discharge signals production and mass inflows into the river network hydraulic model.

The combined use of dynamic flow models of river systems and variational data assimilation methods enables to solve hydrologic-hydraulic inverse problems involving high dimensional spatio-temporal unknown parameters and heterogeneous in situ and satellite observations. This contribution presents multi-dimensional river networks hydrologic-hydraulic modeling approaches based on recent multi-satellite data cocktails, used both for model geometry construction and for spatially distributed calibration performed by variational data assimilation with the DassFlow platform (2D ([Monnier et al., 2016](#); [Pujol et al., 2022](#)), 1D hydraulic model ([Brisset et al., 2018](#); [Larnier et al., 2021](#); [Pujol et al., 2020](#); [Malou et al., 2021](#)), multi-D with hydrology ([Pujol et al., 2022](#))) (cf. GitHub : <https://github.com/orgs/DassHydro-dev/repositories>). Model geometry construction, with definition channel-floodplain bathymetry and hydrological coupling points determinations, is an important step for building an integrated flood model aiming to assimilate multi-source data in view to build an accurate model for usual flows as well as flood-inundations.

## 2. Hydrologic-Hydraulic network model

We consider a 2D river basin domain  $\Omega_{rr}$ , on which is applied a distributed hydrological model  $\mathcal{M}_{rr}$ , that contains a sub-domain  $\Omega_{hy}$  on which is applied 1D  $\mathcal{M}_{hy}^{1D}$  or 1Dlike-2D  $\mathcal{M}_{hy}^{2D}$  hydraulic model of the river network, inflowed by the hydrologic model. The inflow points are defined by preprocessing and correspond to upstream and lateral tributaries draining sub-catchments.

We only detail here for brevity our DassFlow 1D model solving the 1D Saint-Venant equations over River networks. The 1D-like-2D model, based on the resolution of the 2D shallow water equations with a single solver, and including semi lumped hydrologic model in DassFlow 2D is detailed in [Pujol et al. \(2022\)](#).

For 1D modeling, the hydraulic domain  $\Omega_{hy}^{1D}, \Omega_{hy}^{1D} \subset \Omega_{rr} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , is a portion of a hydrographic network plus its floodplains, described by connected segments;  $t \in ]0, T]$  denotes the physical time and  $x \in \Omega_{hy}^{1D}$  the curvilinear abscissa. Let  $A(x, t) [\text{m}^2]$  be the cross-sectional area of flow and  $Q(x, t) [\text{m}^3/\text{s}]$  the flow rate such that  $Q = UA$  with  $U(x, t)$  the mean velocity  $[\text{m}/\text{s}]$  over a cross-sectional area of flow. The Froude number for any cross-section is defined as  $\text{Fr} = Uc = \sqrt{Q^2 W g A^3}$ , where  $W$  is the top width, and compares the flow velocity  $U$  with the wave velocity  $c$ ;  $\text{Fr}^2$  compares the kinetic energy of the moving fluid with the potential energy of gravity.

The 1D Saint-Venant equations taking into account a variable cross-section  $A$  with lateral

contributions  $q_l$ , write as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{hy}^{1D} : \quad \partial_t \mathbf{U} + \partial_x \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U})$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ Q \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}) = \begin{bmatrix} Q \\ \beta \frac{Q^2}{A} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}) = \begin{bmatrix} q_l \\ -gA \left( \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x} - S_f \right) + U \delta_l q_l \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where  $Z(x, t)$  is the WSE  $[m]$  and  $Z = (z_b + h)$  with  $z_b(x)$  the river bed level  $[m]$  and  $h(x, t)$  the water depth  $[m]$ ,  $R_h(x, t) = A/P_h$  the hydraulic radius  $[m]$ ,  $P_h(x, t)$  the wetted perimeter  $[m]$ ,  $g$  is the gravity magnitude  $[m.s^{-2}]$ ,  $q_{lat}(x, t)$  is the lineic lateral discharge  $[m^2.s^{-1}]$  and  $k_{lat}$  is a lateral discharge coefficient chosen equal to one here since we consider inflows only. Let us recall the Froude number definition  $Fr = U/c$  comparing the average flow velocity  $U$  to pressure wave celerity  $c = \sqrt{\frac{gA}{W}}$  where  $W$  is the flow top width  $[m]$ .  $\beta$  is a dimensionless coefficient accounting for velocity non-uniformity and set to 1 by default. The friction term  $S_f$  is classically parameterized with the empirical Manning-Strickler law established for uniform flows  $S_f = \frac{|Q|Q}{K^2 A^2 R_h^{4/3}}$  where  $K [m^{1/3}.s^{-1}]$  is the Strickler coefficient. Richer formulations are also available:  $K(h) = \gamma h^\delta$ .

The Saint-Venant equations are solved on each segment of the river network and the continuity of the flow between segments is ensured by applying an equality constrain on water levels and mass conservation at the confluence between two segments. Boundary conditions are classically imposed (subcritical flows here) at boundary nodes (main hydrological inflows here) with inflow discharges  $Q(t)$  at upstream nodes and water surface elevation (WSE)  $Z(t)$  at the downstream node; lateral hydrographs  $q_{lat}(t)$  at in/outflow nodes. The initial condition is set as the steady state backwater curve profile  $Z_0(x) = Z(Q_{in}(t_0), q_{lat,1..L}(t_0))$  for hot-start. This 1D Saint-Venant model is discretized using the classical implicit Preissmann scheme (see e.g. [Cunge et al. \(1980\)](#)) on a regular grid of spacing  $\Delta x$  using a double sweep method enabling to deal with flow regimes changes; hourly time step  $\Delta t$  here. This is implemented into the computational software DassFlow (see DassFlow documentation; accurate finite volume scheme are also available ([Brisset et al., 2018](#))).

### 3. Inverse algorithms

We denote by  $\mathbf{Y}_{hy}^*$  the set of multisource observations of hydraulic responses over the river network domain  $\Omega_{hy}$  that we aim to assimilate.

Given a spatio-temporal flow model, either 1D or 2D shallow water models above, given flow observables provided by in situ and airborne sensors, a VDA algorithm aims at estimating the unknown-uncertain input parameters of the model by minimizing the misfit between the observables  $\mathbf{Y}_{hy}^*$  and model outputs  $\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ . We denote by  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  the unknown or uncertain parameter of the hydraulic model, that can contain spatialized bathymetry  $b$  and friction  $K$ , spatio-temporal boundary conditions  $Q_{in}$  and source terms  $q_l$  such that:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = (b(x), \alpha(x), \beta(x), Q_{in}(x_{in}, t), q_l(x_l, t))^T \quad (2)$$

Where  $x_{in}$  denotes the spatial coordinates of the BC inflow points and  $x_l$  the lateral inflow points.

The VDA method can be applied to one of the model only as in 1D (Brisset et al., 2018; Larnier et al., 2021; Garambois et al., 2020; Pujol et al., 2020) or in 1Dlike-2D (Monnier et al., 2016; Pujol et al., 2022). The considered unknown-uncertain parameter  $\theta$  is high-dimensional and the cost gradient computation relies on the adjoint model derived by automatic differentiation using the Tapenade software (Hascoet & Pascual, 2013).

We consider the cost function:

$$J(\theta) = J_{obs}(\mathbf{U}(\theta)) + \alpha_{reg} J_{reg}(\theta) \quad (3)$$

where  $J_{obs}(\cdot)$  and  $J_{reg}(\cdot)$  are differentiable, convex functions and  $\alpha_{reg}$  is the regularization weight. The observation term  $J_{obs}$  is defined to account for multi-source (depth, discharge, surface velocity, ...) as in e.g. Pujol et al. (2022), through scaled and potentially weighted terms accounting for data-model misfit.

A variable change based on a background covariance matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ , acting as a preconditioning of the optimization problem in context of equifinality, is used (Larnier et al., 2021). We define a diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{B} = \text{diag}(B_b, B_K, b_Q)$  with 2 decreasing exponential kernels  $(B_Q)_{i,j} = (\sigma_Q)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{|t_j - t_i|}{L_Q}\right)$ ,  $(B_b)_{i,j} = (\sigma_b)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{|x_j - x_i|}{L_b}\right)$  and  $B_K = \text{diag}(\sigma_\alpha^2, \sigma_\beta^2)$ , where  $L_Q$  and  $L_b$  act as correlation length and scalar values  $\sigma_\square$  can be seen as variances.

In this case, the new control variable is  $k = \mathbf{B}^{-1/2}(\theta - \theta^*)$  and the optimization problem reads:

$$\hat{k} = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(k) \quad (4)$$

Where  $j(k) = J(\theta)$  and the new optimality condition reads  $\mathbf{B}^{1/2} \nabla J(\theta) = 0$ .

The optimization problem is numerically solved using the quasi-Newton descent algorithm L-BFGS-B (Zhu et al., 1997). For more details on the formulations and algorithms, see e.g. (Monnier, 2020). The optimization is started from a background value denoted  $\theta^*$ , that can contain a priori physical knowledge of the system, and/or be given by a global search in lower dimensional control spaces as done with the Low Froude model in Larnier et al. (2021).

## 4. Results

This section presents the construction from multi-source datasets of 2 modeling cases of various sophistication, first of the Maroni and next of the Adour River networks. The Maroni is representative of large and sparsely gauged rivers and a priori “SWOT compatible”, while the Adour is more densely gauged and known while being a priori in the limit of visibility of SWOT. Both rivers networks present physical complexities in terms of tidal effect propagation from downstream, and complex geomorphological facies. Spatio-temporal model parameters are estimated by VDA on synthetic (real ones showable in November) SWOT data at the end.


### 4.1. Multisatellite dataset and construction of a 1D model of the Maroni river network

The river network portion we consider has been defined from a fixed threshold on drained area. The inflow points simply correspond to the upstream subcatchments of those main rivers - we here neglect some small lateral tributaries which drain only a few percent of the total basin area.

A rich multi-source dataset has been collected and processed over the Maroni River network that is discretized into 24 main reaches between main inflows. It is illustrated in Fig. 1 and is composed of:

- Water masks from Landsat optical images (Pekel water mask) and from Sentinel 1/2 radar images (ExtractEO algorithm).





**Figure 1.** Maroni catchment and main rivers network with SWOT cal-val groundtrack (top left); Multi-source (Sentinel (top middle and right), ICESat (bottom), in situ (middle), hydroSHED (top left)) dataset over the Maroni river network for model construction; hydrological sub-basins in grey.


- Nadir altimetric WS elevations from Sentinel and Jason satellites, but also from the drifting orbit ICESat-2 satellite.
- In situ limnimetric and discharge time series at sparse gauging stations (VigiCrues network)
- In situ longitudinal water surface profiles gained from boats equipped with GPS.
- Merit digital elevation model (DEM).

An algorithm has been set up (not presented) for ICESat-2 altimetric data processing based on an high resolution average water mask that is used to crop ground tracks as depicted on the top left of Fig. 1. The processed altimetric WS elevations compare fairly well to in situ WS GPS profiles as illustrated on one segment among 24.

A spatial filtering approach is applied to the temporal water masks since we first want to capture main contraction-enlargements of river channels and main hydraulic controls for our 1D model.

The hydraulic model mesh and geometry is built as follows. A “fishbone” mesh is automatically defined on top of the water mask using rivers centerline, at a fine spatial spacing of few hundred meters (to make the most of data while ensuring accurate resolution of the hydraulic model). A simple rectangular cross section is considered here which is reasonable for such rivers morphology (cf. discussion in (Pujol et al., 2020) for the “nearby” Negro River) and its width is given by the average water mask. The a priori channel bottom elevation  $b^*$  is obtained by inverting the low Froude model (cf. (Larnier et al., 2021; Pujol et al., 2020) given GPS flow lines and assuming a constant friction of  $K = 30$ .

Inflows are provided by the regionalized hydrologic model MGB. Downstream hydraulic boundary condition is imposed either as a Neumann BC or with water surface elevation from altimetry.



**Figure 2. Estimated spatially distributed bathymetry coefficients for the 23 segments of the Maroni River network (black dashed line = prior bathymetry, black solid line = inferred bathymetry, blue = final simulated flow line). 14<sup>th</sup> iteration of the Ibfgs optimization algorithm with control vector composed of bathymetry-friction-inflows.**

#### 4.2. Estimation of river network model parameter by assimilation of multisatellite data


A first data assimilation experiment is presented here, it is based on the hydraulic model built above. It consists in solving inverse problem of equation 4 by assimilating all available altimetric data over the river domain in space and time. The control vector given in equation 3 is composed of spatially distributed bathymetry, friction coefficients and inflow discharge time series and is of high dimension. A simultaneous optimization of these hydraulic controls is performed using the data assimilation algorithm presented above.

The estimates obtained after satellite altimetry (nadir) data assimilation are shown in Figure 2 for spatially distributed bathymetry over the 23 reaches (spatially distributed friction not shown) and in Fig. 3 for the 12 inflow hydrographs. The model is also in good agreement with in situ flow depth time series at two upstream gauging stations at Papaichton and Maripasoula (Fig. 3, right).

SWOT data assimilation could be presented at the conference.

#### 4.3. 1Dlike and 1D2D modeling from LiDAR data, the Adour river network

This case is built mainly with relatively high resolution in situ data contrarily to the Maroni case. Moreover, it is not a 1D Saint-Venant model in  $(A, Q)$  variables as done above, but a 2D shallow water model in  $(h, u, v)$  variables. This is the 1D-like - 2D model that has been built in (Pujol et al., 2022) over the Adour River network. It is composed of a 2D zone over the city of Bayonne, based on an operational hydraulic model, and of a 1D-like network. Here the effective 1D-like channel geometry has been built from high resolution Lidar Details added in Fig caption (Fig.4).



**Figure 3. Estimated spatially distributed hydrographs for the 12 main inflow points over the Maroni River network (solid blue lines) from prior hydrographs (dashed lines). Validation of simulated WSE (blue) against in situ limigraphs at gauging stations (red) on the two right panels. 14<sup>th</sup> iteration of the lbfgs optimization algorithm with control vector composed of bathymetry-friction-inflows..**


One particular feature of the area is the tidal control, which is set around 6 km from the 2D area and impacts most the the modeled area, reaching more than 50 km inland. Calibration of the bathymetry, using limnigraph data and starting with a prior value based on the DEM, allows to accurately model this signature propagation up to the upstream parts of the model (not shown).

In an academic twin experiment setup, upstream hydrographs were inferred from the observation of their mixed signatures on water depth (not shown). Fair results were obtained starting from unbiased priors. This opens the way to investigate the estimation of ungauged lateral tributaries from multi-source data as well as the estimation of effective channel parameters from multi-source flow observations. Forthcoming SWOT data (see swathes in Fig.4) will be tested on this relatively narrow river network. A coupling with a regionalized hydrologic model model will be studied.

## 5. Conclusion and further work

This contribution presented the construction of river network flow models, in coherence with multi-source data, over the Maroni and Adour River basins. Several preprocessing tools have been developed to pre-process multi-source data and prepare the model inputs including mesh and coupling with regional hydrology. Two river network hydraulic models inflowed by hydrologic models were built. The capabilities of the data assimilation algorithm are illustrated on a simultaneous optimization of spatially distributed bathymetry-friction and inflows over the Maroni network using existing nadir altimetry missions. Further work consists in studying the assimilation of SWOT satellite spatio-temporal observations, starting with the data collected this spring on the Cal-Val track over the Maroni. This work opens perspectives for regionalization of spatially distributed river channels parameters from multi-source data as well as hydrologic model regionalization through information feedback in the hydrologic-hydraulic coupling (cf.





**Figure 4.** Adour river network upstream from Bayonne (tidal boundary), with observability from in situ stations (Banque HYDRO network) and satellite missions (altimetry from SWOT, Sentinel 3A-B, Envisat and Jason). High resolution DEM from LiDAR used to build 1Dlike network model and 1D2D model with urban flood at Bayonne.

(Pujol et al., 2022) also another proceeding presented). The proposed methods are transposable and implemented in open source softwares.

## 6. Authors contributions

Kévin Larnier and Léo Pujol performed numerical results respectively on the Maroni and Adour cases. Pierre-André Garambois supervised this research and wrote the article. The design and development of the inverse method was supervised by Jérôme Monnier. All authors participated to data processing, discussions and manuscript revision.

## 7. Acknowledgements

The authors warmly thank SCHAPI, Météo France and CVH (Cellule de Veille Hydrologique) Guyane, CNES, IRD Cayenne, for sharing data enabling to build those complex test cases.

## References

- Brisset, P., Monnier, J., Garambois, P.-A., & Roux, H. (2018). On the assimilation of altimetric data in 1d saint-venant river flow models. *Advances in Water Resources*, 119, 41-59. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0309170817302476> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2018.06.004>
- Coppo Frias, M., Liu, S., Mo, X., Nielsen, K., Rannald, H., Jiang, L., ... Bauer-Gottwein, P. (2023). River hydraulic modeling with icesat-2 land and water surface elevation. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 27(5), 1011–1032. Retrieved from <https://hess.copernicus.org/articles/27/1011/2023/>
- Cunge, J. A., Holly, M., F., & Verwey, A. (1980). *Practical aspects of computational river hydraulics*. Pitam Publishing,.
- Dembélé, M., Hrachowitz, M., Savenije, H. H., Mariéthoz, G., & Schaeffli, B. (2020). Improving the predictive skill of a distributed hydrological model by calibration on spatial patterns with multiple satellite data sets. *Water resources research*, 56(1).
- Garambois, P.-A., Calmant, S., Roux, H., Paris, A., Monnier, J., Finaud-Guyot, P., ... Santos da Silva, J. (2017). Hydraulic visibility: Using satellite altimetry to parameterize a hydraulic model of an ungauged reach of a braided river. *Hydrological Processes*, 31(4), 756-767. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11033>
- Garambois, P.-A., Larnier, K., Monnier, J., Finaud-Guyot, P., Verley, J., Montazem, A.-S., & Calmant, S. (2020). Variational estimation of effective channel and ungauged anabranching river discharge from multi-satellite water heights of different spatial sparsity. *Journal of Hydrology*, 581, 124409. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169419311448> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124409>
- Garambois, P.-A., & Monnier, J. (2015). Inference of effective river properties from remotely sensed observations of water surface. *Advances in Water Resources*, 79, 103-120. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0309170815000330> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.02.007>
- Hascoet, L., & Pascual, V. (2013). The tapenade automatic differentiation tool: principles, model, and specification. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS)*, 39(3), 1–43.
- Huynh, T., Garambois, P.-A., Renard, B., Roux, H., Jay-Allemand, M., Demargne, J., & Javelle, P. (2023). Learning pre-regionalization of a differentiable high resolution hydrological model with spatial gradients. *Submitted*. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.02040>

- Larnier, K., & Monnier, J. (2020). Hybrid neural network–variational data assimilation algorithm to infer river discharges from swot-like data. *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics Discussions*, 1–30.
- Larnier, K., Monnier, J., Garambois, P.-A., & Verley, J. (2021). River discharge and bathymetry estimation from swot altimetry measurements. *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*, 29(6), 759–789. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1080/17415977.2020.1803858>
- Malou, T., Garambois, P.-A., Paris, A., Monnier, J., & Larnier, K. (2021). Generation and analysis of stage-fall-discharge laws from coupled hydrological-hydraulic river network model integrating sparse multi-satellite data. *Journal of Hydrology*, 603, 126993. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S002216942101043X> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126993>
- Malou, T., & Monnier, J. (2022, feb). Covariance kernels investigation from diffusive wave equations for data assimilation in hydrology. *Inverse Problems*, 38(4), 045003. Retrieved from <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/ac509d> doi: 10.1088/1361-6420/ac509d
- Monnier, J. (2020). *Inverse problems & data assimilation* [Open online course]. Retrieved from <https://hal.science/hal-03040047> (Note book)
- Monnier, J., Couderc, F., Dartus, D., Larnier, K., Madec, R., & Vila, J.-P. (2016). Inverse algorithms for 2d shallow water equations in presence of wet dry fronts: Application to flood plain dynamics. *Advances in Water Resources*, 97, 11–24. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0309170816302214> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2016.07.005>
- Montazem, A. S., Garambois, P.-A., Calmant, S., Finaud-Guyot, P., Monnier, J., Medeiros Moreira, D., ... Biancamaria, S. (2019). Wavelet-based river segmentation using hydraulic control-preserving water surface elevation profile properties. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(12), 6534–6543. Retrieved from <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019GL082986> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082986>
- Oliveira, A. M., Fleischmann, A., & Paiva, R. (2021). On the contribution of remote sensing-based calibration to model hydrological and hydraulic processes in tropical regions. *Journal of Hydrology*, 597, 126184.
- Pujol, L., Garambois, P.-A., Finaud-Guyot, P., Monnier, J., Larnier, K., Mosé, R., ... Calmant, S. (2020). Estimation of multiple inflows and effective channel by assimilation of multi-satellite hydraulic signatures: The ungauged anabranching negro river. *Journal of Hydrology*, 591, 125331. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169420307915> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125331>
- Pujol, L., Garambois, P.-A., & Monnier, J. (2022). Multi-dimensional hydrological–hydraulic model with variational data assimilation for river networks and floodplains. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 15(15), 6085–6113. Retrieved from <https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/15/6085/2022/> doi: 10.5194/gmd-15-6085-2022
- Rodriguez, E. (2012). *Swot science requirements document. jpl document*. (Tech. Rep.). JPL. Retrieved from [https://swot.jpl.nasa.gov/system/documents/files/2176\\_2176\\_D-61923\\_SRD\\_Rev\\_B\\_20181113.pdf](https://swot.jpl.nasa.gov/system/documents/files/2176_2176_D-61923_SRD_Rev_B_20181113.pdf)
- Rodríguez, E., Durand, M., & Frasson, R. (2020). Observing rivers with varying spatial scales. *Water Resources Research*, 56(9), e2019WR026476. Retrieved from <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019WR026476> (e2019WR026476 10.1029/2019WR026476) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026476>

- Schneider, R., Godiksen, P., Villadsen, H., Madsen, H., & Bauer-Gottwein, P. (2017). Application of CryoSat-2 altimetry data for river analysis and modelling. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 21(2), 751-764. Retrieved from <https://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/21/751/2017/> doi: 10.5194/hess-21-751-2017
- Wongchuig-Correa, S., de Paiva, R. C. D., Biancamaria, S., & Collischonn, W. (2020). Assimilation of future swot-based river elevations, surface extent observations and discharge estimations into uncertain global hydrological models. *Journal of Hydrology*, 590, 125473.
- Zhu, C., Byrd, R. H., Lu, P., & Nocedal, J. (1997). Algorithm 778: L-bfgs-b: Fortran subroutines for large-scale bound-constrained optimization. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 23(4), 550-560. Retrieved from <http://dblp.uni-trier.de/db/journals/toms/toms23.html#ZhuBLN97>